

**To:** Robert Law[rlaw@demaximis.com]  
**Cc:** Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]; Mike Barbara[mab.environmental@gmail.com]; Basso, Ray[Basso.Ray@epa.gov]  
**From:** LaPoma, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tue 4/8/2014 2:19:53 PM  
**Subject:** RE: LPRSA - May 8th tech mtg - EPA time slot on agenda

Rob,

Before we postpone and reschedule the May 8<sup>th</sup> meeting, could you get back to me on the following:

Are there any topics other than HHRA and BERA ones that CPG would like to discuss at upcoming RI/FS technical meetings? If so, will the CPG be prepared to cover any of those topics on May 8<sup>th</sup>?

Can you clarify what the tentative submission date is for the HHRA and BERA. I recall that you had mentioned a revised submission date slated for late April. If that is still the case, that would give EPA and the partner agencies one week with the submittals before the May 8<sup>th</sup> meeting, which I think we could all agree to. If that were the case we could reconsider and cover risk assessment topics at the May meeting.

Additionally, while partner agencies have stressed that the meeting materials should be provided two weeks in advance, I think we can meet in the middle on this. Is the CPG willing to provide the meeting materials within one week of the meeting? If not, how much time in advance of the meeting is the CPG willing to provide the materials?

Thanks in advance,

Jennifer LaPoma

**From:** Robert Law [mailto:rlaw@demaximis.com]

**Sent:** Monday, April 07, 2014 11:39 AM  
**To:** LaPoma, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Willard Potter; Mike Barbara  
**Subject:** Re: LPRSA - May 8th tech mtg - EPA time slot on agenda

Jennifer:

Going back to EPA's March 26 email on the RARC and the Risk Assessment submissions in which you suggested that the RI/FS meeting might need to be scheduled if the HHRA and ERA were not received by April 24. Currently, the CPG does not anticipate submitting the HHRA and ERA by April 24. In addition, the EPA imposed a second condition on the CPG for the next meeting of providing meeting material by April 24; CPG cannot commit to providing presentations and other meeting materials a full two weeks before the May 8 meeting.

The CPG is going under the assumption that the May 8 meeting will likely be postponed and rescheduled due to EPA's two conditions imposed for the next RI/FS Meeting in its March 26 email.

Let me know if you wish to discuss this further.

Thank you.

R/

Rob

Robert Law, Ph.D.  
de maximis, inc.  
[rlaw@demaximis.com](mailto:rlaw@demaximis.com)  
Voice: 908-735-9315  
Fax: 908-735-2132

>>> "LaPoma, Jennifer" <[LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov](mailto:LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov)> 4/7/2014 11:03 AM >>>

Rob,

Any update on the May 8<sup>th</sup> RI/FS technical meeting agenda? I don't recall if Stephanie had mentioned this before she left, but EPA will want an 30 minute time slot to discuss mapping approaches. Our contractors, CDM, HDR, and John Kern are preparing a presentation on the topic. So, as a heads up we will want to add that into the agenda.

Thanks,

Jennifer LaPoma